

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
EASTERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THOMAS DEFELICE,

Defendant.

No. 15-CR-1005-LRR

**ORDER REGARDING
MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S
GUILTY PLEA**

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On March 13, 2015, a one-count Superseding Information was filed against the defendant Thomas DeFelice. On March 31, 2015, the defendant appeared before United States Chief Magistrate Judge Jon S. Scoles and entered a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Information. On March 31, 2015, Judge Scoles filed a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the defendant's guilty plea be accepted. No objections to Judge Scoles's Report and Recommendation were filed. The court, therefore, undertakes the necessary review of Judge Scoles's recommendation to accept the defendant's plea in this case.

II. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to statute, this court's standard of review for a magistrate judge's report and recommendation is as follows:

A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Similarly, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) provides for review of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation on dispositive motions and prisoner petitions, where objections are made, as follows:

The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

In this case, no objections have been filed, and it appears to the court upon review of Judge Scoles's findings and conclusions that there is no ground to reject or modify them. Therefore, the court **ACCEPTS** Judge Scoles's Report and Recommendation of March 31, 2015, and **ACCEPTS** the defendant's plea of guilty in this case to Count 1 of the Superseding Information.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2015.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Linda R. Reade", is written over a horizontal line.

LINDA R. READE
CHIEF JUDGE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA